## **REMARKS**

The amendments set forth above and the following remarks are believed responsive to the points raised by the Office Action dated February 3, 2004. In view of the amendments set forth above and the following remarks, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 7, and 13 have been amended. The amendments to claims 1 and 13 each incorporate a limitation of claim 7. No new matter has been added.

In the Office Action, claims 1-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being allegedly obvious in view of U.S. 5,552,068 (Griffith) in combination with Smalheer and/or U.S. 5,700,764 (Walters et al.). The Applicants respectfully disagree. Independent claim 1 defines a gear oil essentially free of an ashless dispersant. Independent claim 13 defines a method of manufacturing a gear oil essentially free of an ashless dispersant. Nothing in Griffith alone or in combination with Smalheer or Walters et al. discloses, points to, or suggests such a gear oil or method of making such a gear oil. Griffith discloses utilizing the described lubricant oil composition with dispersants (see column 4, lines16-17). Further, Smalheer and Walters et al. likewise teach nothing about a gear oil essentially free of an ashless dispersant. All references teach a lubricating oil that includes a dispersant. The Applicants have surprisingly found a combination of components that function together in the absence of an ashless dispersant. One of ordinary skill in the art would learn nothing about omitting an ashless dispersant and still achieving a gear oil that meets clean gear standards.

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1 and 13 are nonobvious in view of Griffith in combination with Smalheer and/or Walters et al. Further, claims 2-12 and 14-23, which are dependent upon claim 1, are also nonobvious in view of Griffith in combination with Smalheer and/or Walters et al. It is respectfully submitted that the rejections have been overcome and, therefore, should be removed.

## Fees

It is believed that there are no other fees associated with this filing. However, in the event the calculations are incorrect, the Commissioner is authorized to debit the appropriate fees from the Deposit Account of the undersigned, No. 05-1372. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiencies associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 05-1372.

## Conclusion

The application is considered in good and proper form for allowance. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

ETHYL PETROLEUM ADDITIVES, INC.

Leah Oubre Robinson Registration No. 44,990

330 South Fourth Street Richmond, VA 23219

Telephone: (804) 788-5498 Facsimile: (804) 788-5519

Date: May 3, 2004